

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/617,637 | 07/11/2003 | Noriyuki Ito | 5271-0108PUS1 | 5271-0108PUS1 4271 | |
| 2292 | 7590 09/07/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH | | | MAPLES, JOHN S | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUF | RCH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | · | | 1745 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006 | DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/617,637 | ITO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | John S. Maples | 1745 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addres | is | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | l. ely filed the mailing date of this commu. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju. | ne 2006. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> ie/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | nom consideration. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 16-34 are subject to restriction and/or | alaction requirement | | | | | |
| Olamin(s) 10-54 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | pted or b) objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1. | 121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-1 | 52. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stag | je | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | |) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1745

It is noted that applicant elected a species in the response dated June 26,
 At this time no election of species is required because the examiner has not required the same.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on June 26, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that there is not serious burden on the examiner to examine both groups and that the searches for both groups are in the same area. This is not found persuasive because with the different subject matter of the two groups as outlined in the May 25, 2006 action, it would add undue and serious burden upon the examiner to examine both groups. Even though there may be some overlap for the search in both groups, there would still be serious burden on the examiner because of the materially different subject matter between the two groups.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- Claims 1-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 16-29, drawn to a first alkaline battery/method of producing, classified in class 429, subclass 206.
 - II. Claims 30-34, drawn to a method of making a second alkaline battery, classified in class 29, subclass 623.1.

Art Unit: 1745

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Group II requires a method of making an alkaline battery where the amount of water in the materials of the battery for assembly is one amount and the amount of water in the materials after assembly is a different amount, which feature is not part of the Group I alkaline battery and is thus distinct therefrom.

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

Art Unit: 1745

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 571-272-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:15-3:45, every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSM/8-30-2006